



SERMSANG POWER CORPORATION PLC

No. 159/2020 6 October 2020

CORPORATES

Company Rating: Outlook:

BBB Stable

RATIONALE

TRIS Rating assigns the company rating of "BBB" with a "stable" outlook to Sermsang Power Corporation PLC (SSP). The rating reflects the predictable cash flows from the company's solar power portfolio, backed by long-term power purchase agreements (PPA), and the low operational risk of solar power plants. The rating also recognizes the satisfactory performance of SSP's power plants. Conversely, the rating is partly weighed down by the execution risks of its offshore wind power project in Vietnam and heightening leverage.

KEY RATING CONSIDERATIONS

Predictable cash flow from solar power portfolio

The rating is built on the predictable cash flow SSP generates from its power business. SSP's operating power plants are now entirely made up of solar power. The cash flow from solar power generation is largely predicable, backed by the committed tariff and low operational risks.

SSP's power plants operate under long-term PPAs, mainly with the Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT), reliable power buyers in Japan, and Vietnam Electricity (EVN). The PPAs are on a non-firm basis.

As of June 2020, SSP owned 14 operating solar power plants, with a gross capacity of 193.4 megawatts (MW). In terms of power generation capacity proportionate to SSP's stakes in the power generation assets, SSP's net capacity totals 173 megawatts equity (MWe). SSP is currently developing two solar farms in Japan, one offshore wind farm in Vietnam, and a solar rooftop project in Indonesia. Total net capacity will increase to 263.8 MW from 173 MW by 2023.

In the first half of 2020, SSP gained THB903 million in revenues, up from THB715 million in the same period of 2019. The 26% increase came from the new solar projects launched in 2019 and 2020, including four solar rooftop projects in Thailand and three solar farms in Japan, Vietnam, and Mongolia. Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) came in at THB742 million, up from THB547 million in the same period last year.

In our base-case forecast, we assume that SSP will complete projects in the pipeline as planned. As a result, EBITDA should grow to THB1.3-THB2 billion per year during 2020-2023, up from the record-high of THB888 million in 2019.

Satisfactory performance of power portfolio

On average, SSP's power portfolio has rendered satisfactory performance. The annual output of most solar power plants exceeded the P50 level (the 50% probability of energy production), the threshold of expected operating performance. As SSP continued to launch new projects during 2016-2019, the company's power output grew to 190 gigawatt-hours (GWh) in 2019 from 85 GWh in 2015.

Among SSP's power plants, Sermsang Palang Ngan Co., Ltd. (SPN), has established a long track record while other power plants have been in operation for less than three years. SPN generates 85-90 GWh of power per annum, or 15% above the P50 level. In the first half of 2020, SPN contributed around 36% of SSP's total power output. SSP's other power plants in Thailand generated around 6% of its output. The remaining 58% was produced by

Contacts:

Narongchai Ponsirichusopol narongchai@trisrating.com

Rapeepol Mahapant rapeepol@trisrating.com

Parat Mahuttano parat@trisrating.com

Monthian Chantarklam monthian@trisrating.com







overseas power plants.

Execution risks of wind power project

The rating is partly weighed down by execution risks associated with SSP's first wind power project. SSP is now developing a 48-MW offshore wind farm in Vietnam, which is scheduled to commence commercial operation by October 2021. TRIS Rating is of the view that wind farm development entails relatively higher construction risks due in large part to the complexity of technology selection and plant design. Installation difficulties with the key components and higher construction risk can lead to cost overruns. Furthermore, delays in construction would jeopardize the viability of the project. However, the construction risk is partly mitigated by the terms of the Engineering Procurement and Construction (EPC) contracts. In the post-construction phase, wind farms also generally carry higher operation risks than solar projects.

Growing exposure to high country risks

SSP's power plants in Mongolia and Vietnam will make up around one-fourth of SSP's power-generating assets by 2021. TRIS Rating views that investments in both countries carry relatively higher risks than the projects in Thailand and Japan. The perceived higher risk is related to the consistency of regulations, difficulties in contract enforcement, the tendency of delays in obtaining approvals of necessary permits, infrastructure constraints, and more. Furthermore, SSP is also exposed to exchange rate risk and higher risk of payments from power buyers (off-takers).

Lower tariffs and interest burden strain profitability

Lower tariffs of new projects and high interest expenses are putting a strain on SSP's profitability. SSP had an average tariff of higher than THB9 per kilowatt-hour (kWh) during 2015-2018. The average tariff dropped to THB7.7 per kWh in 2019. In our base-case forecast for 2020-2023, the average tariff will stay at the level of THB7-THB8 per kWh, following the commencement of the new solar farms in Japan and a wind farm in Vietnam.

Over the same period, we expect SSP's debt to increase successively while the average cost of debt is forecast to rise from projects in countries with high project risks. SSP's average cost of debt was at 3.4% in 2019. We forecast the average cost of debt will rise to 3.6%-4% during 2020-2023. As a result of the higher interest burden, funds from operations (FFO) should hover in the range of THB0.9-THB1.4 billion per year during 2020-2023, up from THB0.8 billion in 2019.

Heightening leverage during growth phase

SSP has borrowed heavily to fund its investments. On top of project loans for each power plant, SSP has long-term loans at the holding company to support equity investments in the project companies. Looking ahead, we expect SSP to remain highly leveraged over the next few years due to the funding needs of new projects in the pipeline.

As of June 2020, adjusted debt stood at THB8.3 billion, with a debt to capitalization ratio of 65.6%. We project that SSP will spend THB9.3 billion for expansion during 2020-2023. As such, adjusted debt is projected to rise to a peak of THB13.9 billion in 2022. The debt to capitalization ratio will likely increase to 68%-72%. This is based on our assumption that SSP will obtain financing from an EPC contractor for the construction of the offshore wind farm in Vietnam, whereby SSP will pay most of the construction costs after the project is operational. The ratio of FFO to debt is projected at 9.6%-10.3% during 2020-2023.

Adequate liquidity

Most of SSP's power projects have already secured long-term project loans. SSP has also utilized both short-term and long-term banking facilities to support its subsidiaries. SSP and its subsidiaries have complied with respective financial covenants on their bank loans.

We assess SSP's liquidity as adequate. As of June 2020, the company had THB1.2 billion in short-term debts and long-term debts coming due in the next 12 months. At the same time, it had cash on hand of THB1.2 billion while we expect FFO of about THB900 million.

BASE-CASE ASSUMPTIONS

- Offshore wind farm to start operation in the fourth guarter of 2021.
- Gross capacity to reach 295 MW in 2022.
- During 2020-2023, power plants to generate combined power output 219-419 GWh per year.
- Revenues to lie in the THB1.6-THB2.7 billion per year range.
- EBITDA margin to stay in the 76%-79% range.
- Capital expenditure and investments of THB9.3 billion during 2020-2023.
- SSP to obtain financing from the EPC contractor for construction of the wind project.





RATING OUTLOOK

The "stable" outlook embeds our expectations that SSP's power plants will perform well and generate cash as forecast, with no material project delays or cost overruns for the projects under development, while its leverage ratio also remains in line with the forecast.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

A rating upgrade could occur if SSP's power plants generate cash flow in excess of forecasts or if SSP strengthens its capital structure significantly. Conversely, a rating downgrade could occur if SSP's financial profile deteriorates materially due to excessive debt-fund investments or the performance of the power plants undershoots estimates resulting in a significant drop in cash flow.

COMPANY OVERVIEW

SSP was founded in 2015 as a holding company. SSP invests in companies that generate and sell electricity produced from renewable resources. The company was listed on the Market for Alternative Investment (MAI) in September 2017. SSP's shares were moved to trade on the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) in March 2019. As of June 2020, SSP's major shareholder was the Kraipisitkul family, which owns 56% of the company's shares. Public shareholders owned the rest.

SSP's first power plant was SPN, a solar power plant in Lopburi, with an installed capacity of 52 MW. SPN has a long-term PPA with EGAT for 40 MW of power supply. The term of the PPA with EGAT spans five years with an option to renew every five years. The electricity tariff has three components: the time of use (TOU) tariff, the fuel tariff (Ft) charge, and an adder of THB6.5 per kWh for the first 10 years after the commercial operation date (COD). SPN has been the main source of revenue and profit for SSP.

The company later expanded into solar rooftop projects and solar farms in overseas markets. In Thailand, SSP owns a 5-MW solar farm, which it co-developed with the War Veterans Organization of Thailand (WVO). The project receives a fixed feed-in-tariff of THB4.12 per kWh. The term of the PPA is 25 years. Apart from solar farms, SSP also has solar rooftop projects with many industrial users under private PPAs. The electricity tariffs charged to industrial users are based on the TOU tariff minus a discount, as specified in the PPAs.

SSP also has several solar farms overseas. The company has three solar farms in Japan, with total gross capacity of 63.5 MW. These three projects receive a fixed feed-in-tariff of JPY36-JPY40 per kWh under 20-year PPAs with reputable off-takers in Japan, such as Hokkaido Electric Power Co., Inc. (HEPCO) and Kyushu Electric Power Co., Inc. (KYUDEN). SSP also owns the Khunsight Kundi solar farm project, a 16-MW solar farm in Mongolia. This project has a 12-year PPA with National Dispatch Center LLC. The tariff is a fixed feed-in-tariff basis of US cent16.2 per kWh. In 2019, SSP developed a 50-MW solar farm in Vietnam. Electricity of Vietnam (EVN) is the off-taker for this project under a 20-year PPA. The tariff is a fixed feed-in-tariff basis at US cent9.35 per kWh.

Currently, SSP has four projects under development and construction including two solar farms in Japan, one wind farm in Vietnam, and one solar rooftop project in Indonesia.





Table 1: Portfolio of SSP

| | Project Name | Off-taker | Location | SCOD/ | Ownership | Capacity (MW) | | |
|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------|-----------|---------------|------------|--------|
| | | | | COD | % | Installed | Contracted | Equity |
| Operating | | | | | | 193 | 160 | 173 |
| 1 | SPN ^(a) | EGAT | Lopburi | Feb-15 | 100 | 52 | 40 | 52 |
| 2 | Hidaka ^(a) | HEPCO (d) | Hokkaido | Mar-18 | 87 | 21 | 17 | 18 |
| 3 | SNNP1 (b) | Srinanaporn Group | Samutsakorn | Mar-18 | 100 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 4 | SNNP2 (b) | Srinanaporn Group | Ratchaburi | Mar-18 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Do-Home ^(b) | Do Home Group | Bangbuatong, Rama II, Korat, Khonkhan, Chiang Mai | Jul-18 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | Zouen ^(a) | KYUDEN ^(e) | Kumamoto | Aug-18 | 100 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| 7 | WVO ^(a) | PEA | Ratchaburi | Nov-18 | 100 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 8 | Binh Nguyen ^(a) | EVN ^(h) | Vietnam | May-19 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 40 |
| 9 | Mongolia ^(a) | NDC LLC (g) | Mongolia | Jul-19 | 75 | 16 | 15 | 12 |
| 10 | SNNP3 (b) | Srinanaporn Group | Thailand | Apr-19 | 100 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 11 | SNNP4 (b) | Srinanaporn Group | Thailand | Mar-20 | 100 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 12 | Yamaga ^(a) | KYUDEN ^(e) | Kumamoto | May-20 | 90 | 35 | 30 | 31 |
| 13 | TAPACO (b) | TAPACO | Chonburi, Prachinburi | Apr-20 | 100 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 14 | PRC (b) | PRC | Nonthaburi | Apr-20 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cor | structing and Develop | ing | | | | 101 | 90 | 91 |
| 15 | Leo Phase1 (a) | TEPCO (f) | Shizuoka | Q3/21 | 100 | 26 | 20 | 26 |
| 16 | Leo Phase2 (a) | TEPCO (f) | Shizuoka | Q1/23 | 100 | 22 | 17 | 14 |
| 17 | Wind Farm VN (c) | EVN ^(h) | Vietnam | Q4/21 | 80 | 48 | 48 | 38 |
| 18 | Platinum Ceramic (b) | Platinum Ceramic | Indonesia | Q1/21 | 84 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| | Total | | | | | 295 | 250 | 264 |

Source: SSP

Remark: (a) Solar farm power plant

- (b) Solar rooftop power plant
- (c) Wind farm power plant
- (d) Hokkaido Electric Power Co., Inc.
- (e) Kyushu Electric Power Co., Inc.
- (f) Tokyo Electric Power Company
- (g) National Dispatching Center LLC
- (h) Electricity of Vietnam





FINANCIAL STATISTICS AND KEY FINANCIAL RATIOS

Unit: Mil. THB

| | | Year Ended 31 December | | | · |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| | Jan-Jun 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Total operating revenues | 903 | 1,486 | 1,137 | 877 | 877 |
| Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) | 524 | 788 | 663 | 524 | 610 |
| Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) | 742 | 1,133 | 888 | 648 | 730 |
| Funds from operations (FFO) | 561 | 828 | 648 | 409 | 567 |
| Adjusted interest expense | 173 | 293 | 238 | 231 | 163 |
| Capital expenditures | 635 | 2,255 | 3,369 | 1,961 | 1,341 |
| Total assets | 15,206 | 13,045 | 11,893 | 8,270 | 4,963 |
| Adjusted debt | 8,343 | 7,370 | 6,218 | 3,460 | 3,729 |
| Adjusted equity | 4,376 | 3,997 | 3,858 | 3,422 | 1,297 |
| Adjusted Ratios | | | | | |
| EBITDA margin (%) | 82.12 | 76.30 | 78.07 | 73.92 | 83.24 |
| Pretax return on permanent capital (%) | 6.87 | 6.44 | 6.56 | 7.55 | 13.59 |
| EBITDA interest coverage (times) | 4.29 | 3.86 | 3.73 | 2.81 | 4.48 |
| Debt to EBITDA (times) | 6.28 | 6.50 | 7.00 | 5.34 | 5.11 |
| FFO to debt (%) | 11.89 | 11.23 | 10.42 | 11.83 | 15.20 |
| Debt to capitalization (%) | 65.60 | 64.84 | 61.71 | 50.28 | 74.20 |

RELATED CRITERIA

- Rating Methodology Corporate, 26 July 2019
- Key Financial Ratios and Adjustments, 5 September 2018

Sermsang Power Corporation PLC (SSP)

| Company Rating: | BBB |
|-----------------|--------|
| Rating Outlook: | Stable |

TRIS Rating Co., Ltd.

Silom Complex Building, 24th Floor, 191 Silom Road, Bangkok 10500, Thailand Tel: 0-2098-3000

© Copyright 2020, TRIS Rating Co., Ltd. All rights reserved. Any unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, republication, further transmission, dissemination, redistribution or storing for subsequent use for any purpose, in whole or Any unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, republication, further transmission, dissemination, redistribution, or storing for subsequent use for any purpose, in whole or in part, in any form or manner or by any means whatsoever, by any person, of the credit rating reports or information is prohibited, without the prior written permission of TRIS Rating Co., Ltd. The credit rating is not a statement of fact or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any debt instruments. It is an expression of opinion regarding credit risks for that instrument or particular company. The opinion expressed in the credit rating does not represent investment or other advice and should therefore not be construed as such. Any rating and information contained in any report written or published by TRIS Rating has been prepared without taking into account any recipient's particular financial needs, circumstances, knowledge and objectives. Therefore, a recipient should assess the appropriateness of such information before making an investment decision based on this information used for the rating has been obtained by TRIS Rating from the company and other sources believed to be reliable. Therefore, TRIS Rating does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, or completeness of any such information and will accept no liability for any loss or damage arising from any inaccuracy, inadequacy or incompleteness. Also, TRIS Rating is not responsible for any errors or omissions, the result obtained from, or any actions taken in reliance upon such information. All methodologies used can be found at www.trisrating.com/rating-information/rating-criteria